



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/078,386	02/20/2002	Clifford F. Sharp	58875/P001CP1CP1/10111610 5842			
29053 755 DALLAS OFFIC	00 04/06/2007 E OF FULBRIGHT & J.	EXAMINER				
2200 ROSS AVE		JUNG, DAVID YIUK				
SUITE 2800 DALLAS, TX 75	201-2784	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
•		2134				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE		DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE			
31 DAYS		04/06/2007	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Anniination No.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applicant/o)			
Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/078,386		SHARP ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
			David Y. Jung		2134			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this component of period for reply is specified above, the maximum some to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF THIS COL 36(a). In no event, howev ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	MMUNICATION ver, may a reply be time IX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on				•		
2a)□	•		- action is non-fina	l <b>.</b>				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the	application.			·			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 is/are	withdrawn f	rom consideration	۱.		•		
5)□								
6)								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) 9-25 are subject to restrict	ion and/or e	election requireme	nt.	·	•		
Applicati	on Papers		·					
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner	r.					
,—	• • •			cted to by the E	xaminer.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		- '	•				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t		•			, ,		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office actions.	documents documents of the priori	s have been receives have been receives that the have been receives that the have th	ved. ved in Applicatio ve been received a)).	on No d in this National	Stage		
Attachmen	•							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	TO 040		nterview Summary ( aper No(s)/Mail Dat				
3) 🔲 Infom	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) 🔲 N	lotice of Informal Pa hther:					

Application/Control Number: 10/078,386

Art Unit: 2134

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered. In particular, Applicant's arguments have caused the Office to reconsider the interpretation of the claims. Upon the study of the claims, the claims have been discovered to be of several inventions. While this is the second restriction on this case, the Office notes this restriction has occurred after the first set of arguments from Applicant. Until Applicant gave arguments regarding the Office's original interpretation, the Office was not aware of the issues raised by Applicant's arguments. See next section.

### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I, claims 9-11, drawn to diverting to temporary storage, class 711, subclass 170.

Group II, claims 12-21, drawn to packet handling after attainment of packet flow volume reaching a certain level, class 718, subclass 105.

Group III, claims 22, drawn to preventing data from passing a point of a preset limit, class 709, subclass 235.

Group IV, claims 23-25, drawn to a delay path, class 710, subclass 100.

Inventions I-IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions I-IV have separate utility such as the cited subject matter to which they have been drawn (diverting to temporary storage, packet handling after attainment of packet flow volume reaching a certain level, preventing data from passing a point of a preset limit, a delay path). See MPEP § 806.05(d). Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and each of the search required for each of the separate Groups I-IV is not required for the other Groups among them, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

#### Conclusion

The art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The art disclosed general background.

## Points of Contact

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Or:

(571) 273-3836 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Jung whose telephone number is (571) 272-3836 or Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (272) 272-3811.

David Jung

**Patent Examiner** 

Page 5

4/1/07

M